

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,706	12/16/2003	Karl Schreiber	2560-0415	3451	
Timothy I Kil	7590 11/19/2008 Timothy J. Kilma		EXAMINER		
Harbin Klima Law Group PLLC			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M		
500 Ninth Street SE Washington, DC 20003		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		.*	3742		
	,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			. 11/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspic.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/735,706	12/16/2003	Karl Schreiber	2560-0415 3451	
7590 05/25/2006 DAVIDSON BERQUIST KLIMA & JACKSON LLP			EXAMINER	
			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
4501 North Fair Arlington, VA	fax Drive, Suite 920 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Action les Render

	·			<u> </u>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/735,706	SCHREIBER ET AL.	•		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Samuel M. Heinrich	1725			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•	, in the second				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 M</u>	arch 2006.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1,4-12 and 21-35 is/are pending in the	e application.				
• —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
-	Claim(s) <u>1,4-12 and 21-35</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		,		
Applicati	on Papers	•				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2003 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	ed to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	•		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	-	ed in this National Stage			
• 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ad.			
		or the doration depicts not reactive				
Attachmen	1(a)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal P  6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/735,706

Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 4-12, and 21-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,223,976 to Clement et al in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) and in view of Metals Handbook. Clement discloses the known process of laser joining, with or without filler material, of titanium aluminides. AAPA comprises descriptions in the specification, such as Background of the Invention, and comprises the Information Disclosure Statements which comprise documents pertaining to a variety of known joining processes for materials such as titanium aluminide. Metals Handbook describes (page 1064, column 1, last paragraph) "advantage laser brazing

Art Unit: 1725

offers ... is its ability to produce a brazed connection locally without heating the entire part or component" and describes "advantage is the high degree of control of the thermal energy of laser beams, including intensity, spot size, duration, and ability to be located or positioned precisely." The use of a laser joining process for joining titanium aluminide aligned to form a braze joint and with a filler deposited in the braze joint would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the joint layup is known in the art and because the laser joining has well known properties such as having a small heat affected zone. Applicant has recited numerous dependent limitations which read like a book description of joining processes. There are numerous well known books and handbooks which describe all of the well known joining process limitations set forth in the instant dependent claims. The use of well known joining process limitations such as workpiece shape as a sheet. braze gaps, butt joints, protective gas, temperature and pressure, braze construction, and backing bars would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the teachings are readily available to all students of joining.

Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP61095769 in view of EP0904881A1 and in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA). JP61095769 describes laser brazing of a turbine blade. EP0904881A1 describes diffusion brazing methods for titanium aluminide parts. AAPA describes well known titanium aluminide turbine blades. Metals Handbook describes (page 1064, column 1, last paragraph) "advantage laser brazing offers ... is its ability to produce a

Application/Control Number: 10/735,706

Art Unit: 1725

// Control (4d/156), / (

brazed connection locally without heating the entire part or component" and describes "advantage is the high degree of control of the thermal energy of laser beams, including intensity, spot size, duration, and ability to be located or positioned precisely." The use of a laser joining process for joining titanium aluminide aligned to form a braze joint and with a filler deposited in the braze joint would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the joint layup is known in the art and because the laser joining has well known properties such as having a small heat affected zone. The use of the laser brazing of well known parts made of well known titanium aluminide would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the laser is well known to provide a low heat affected zone and therefor maintains workpiece material properties. Applicant has recited numerous dependent limitations which read like a book description of joining processes. There are numerous well known books and handbooks, such as the Metals Handbook, which describe all of the well known joining process limitations set forth in the instant dependent claims. The use of well known joining process limitations such as workpiece shape as a sheet, braze gaps, butt joints. protective gas, temperature and pressure, braze construction, and backing bars would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the teachings are readily available to all students of joining.

Page 4

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,706

Art Unit: 1725

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725